90 Inspection Date - 8/27/15 Inspector - GCOCHRAN (23)

Truck Capacity Type II:

Truck Capacity Type III: tons

Truck Capacity Type IV: tons

tons

Inspection Report with SI&A Data

Structure Description: 312.75 Fo	oot - Single Span Steel Arch - D	eck			NBI	
-	Campbell 16 Latitude: 39°0		" 7	Longitude: 84°27'55.00"	Element	2
7 Facility Carried HIGHLAND A				Milepoint: 0.320	Fracture Critical	_
6A Feature Intersected: HILAND					Underwater	
9 Location: .2 MI E -JCT US 27	0				Special	
Structure Description: 312 75 E		ook				
	ION RATINGS	00	7	GEOMETRI	C DATA	
58 Deck: 6	61 Channel: N		48	Max Length Span:	173.901 ft	
59 Superstructure: 7	62 Culvert: N		49	Structure Length:	312.749 ft	
50 Substructure: 7	Sufficiency Rating: 93		32	Approach Roadway:	-3.281 ft	
			33	Median:	(1) Open Median	
DES	SIGN	00'	,34	Skew:	0°	
Substandard:	No	00	35	Flare:	No Flare	
Fracture Critical:	Yes		50A	Curb/Sidewalk Width L:	3.937 ft	
43A Main Span Material:	(3) Steel		50B	Curb/Sidewalk Width R:	3.937 ft	
43B Main Span Design:	(11) Arch-Deck		47	Horiz. Clearance:	35.761 ft	
15 Number of Spans Main:	1		51	Width Curb to Curb:	-3.281 ft	
4A Approach Span Material:	(3) Steel		52	Width Out to Out:	46.260 ft	
4B Approach Span Design:	Unknown		48	Max Length Span:	173.901 ft	
16 Number of Approach Span	s: 4			ADMINISTI	RATIVE	
107 Deck Type:	(1) Concrete-Cast-in-Place		27	Year Built:	1977	
108A Wearing Surface:	(1) Monolithic Concrete		106	Year Reconstructed:	0	
108B Membrane:	(0) None		42A	Type of Service On:	(5) Hyw - Ped	
108C Deck Protection:	Unknown		42B	Type of Service Under:	(1) Highway	
Overlay Y/N:	No		37	Historical Significance:	(5) Not Eligible	
Overlay Type:	None		21	Maintenance Responsibility	:(01) State Hwy Agency	
Overlay Thickness:	-1.000 in		22	Owner:	(01) State Hwy Agency	
Overlay Date:			101	Parallel Structure:	(N) No II Structure Exists	
4.000			52	Width Out to Out:	46.260 ft	-
	AISAL			CLEARA	NCES	
36A Bridge Railings:	(0) Substandard		10	Vert. Clearance:	29.249 ft	
36B Transitions	(0) Substandard		53	Min. Vert. Clearance Over:	99.999 ft	
36C Approach Guardrail:	(0) Substandard		54A	Vert. Under Reference:	(H) Hwy beneath struct.	
86D Approach Guardrail Ends:	(0) Substandard		54B	Min. Vert. Underclearance:	16.998 ft	
71 Waterway Adequacy:	(N) Not Applicable		55A	Lateral Under Reference:	(H) Hwy beneath struct.	
72 Approach Alignment:	(6) Equal Minimum Crit		55B	Min. Lat. Underclearance R:	22.638 ft	
113 Scour Critical:	(N) Not over Waterway		56	Min. Lat. Underclearance L:	22.638 ft	
Recommended Scour Critical:	(N) Not over Waterway		10	Vert. Clearance:	99.999 ft	
LOAD R	RATINGS			POSTIN	IGS	
63 Operating Type: (1) Load	I Factor (LF)		41	Posting Status:	(A) Open, No Restriction	
64 Operating Rating: 60.0 ton	s		Sigr	ns Posted Cardinal:	No	
	l Factor (LF)		Sigr	ns Posted Non-Cardinal:	No	
66 Inventory Rating: 36.0 ton			Field	d Postings Gross:	-1 tons	
Truck Capacity Type I: tons			Field	d Postings Type I:	-1 tons	

Field Postings Type II:

Field Postings Type III:

Field Postings Type IV:

-1 tons

-1 tons

-1 tons

12: Re 0	Concrete Deck								
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4
SQ.FT	14,467.74	13,599.74	94%	868	6%	0	0%	0	0%

Re Concrete Deck-

Transverse cracking conditions were found randomly throughout wearing surface of deck area.

Wearing surface has a minor loss of texture typical throughout, with areas along wheel tracks showing the most loss at this time.

Stone aggregates of surface system were found starting to become exposed and highly polished.

Random areas of transverse cracking with efflorescence were found in deck soffit, along with random areas of delamination and spalling. Note that some locations of subject delamination and spalling were found starting to occurring out into spans at or near areas over traveled roadway below. All loose material and/or material that could become loose should be removed from areas. (See Photos)

1080: D	1080: Delamination/Spall/Patched Area										
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4		
SQ.FT	128	0	0%	128	100%	0	0%	0	0%		

Delamination/Spall/Patched Area-

Random areas of transverse cracking with efflorescence were found in deck soffit, along with random areas of delamination and spalling. Note that some locations of subject delamination and spalling were found starting to occurring out into spans at or near areas over traveled roadway below. All loose material and/or material that could become loose should be removed from areas. (See Photos)

113: Steel Stringer

	oor oanniger								
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4
FT	2,168.25	2,168.25	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Steel Stringer-

Note that a large amount of the stringer members throughout structure were found to be located at height, preventing close up view of members for inspection, during ground view Bi-Annual Inspection performed. However; stringer members that could be closely seen/viewed for inspection this date, were found to be performing as designed at this time.

Due to such conditions noted above, the following notes were left in place from previous In-Depth Inspection of structure, which was performed/completed in February of 2014.

Stringer elements throughout structure are of Painted Steel I design.

Note that stringer elements throughout structure were found to be performing as designed at this time, but several connection bolts in vertical clip angle of stringer #2 at ahead face of floor beam #7 were found to be very loose. Repairs are needed.

Horizontal connection welds between horizontal gusset/shelf plates of lateral bracing material to stringer and floor beam webs were found to be cracked or showing possible cracking in several locations throughout structure. See attached file in the Media Tab or hard copy in bridge file, as well as photos. All cracks detected were found located in 45 degree turn of weld or running along plate face of weld bead and not along web section of members. These weld details need to remain closely watched for further conditions.

515: Steel Protective Coating											
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4		
FT	0.3	0.3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%		
What cou	otective Coating- uld be seen/viewed d performing well otos)	•	I Inspectior	n this date of steel	protective	coating system th	nroughout s	tringer members			

141: Stl	Arch								
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4
FT	173.9	173.9	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
system for could be surface r Small are during to	t a large amount o or inspection, durin seen/viewed for in usting conditions a eas of light surface light covering of p t several nuts were blaced.	ng ground view Bi nspection were fou and flaking occurri e rusting condition protective paint co	Annual Ins und to be p ing in prote s were four ating syste	spection performe erforming as desig ctive paint coating nd in random loca m on material.	d this date. gned at this g system. tions throug	However; portion time, with the exc phout steel arch, w	is of the arch ception of a n vhich appear	system that ninor amount of to be mostly	

515: St	eel Protective Co	ating							
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4
FT	0.3	0	0%	0.3	100%	0	0%	0	0%

Steel Protective Coating-

Small areas of light surface rusting conditions were found in random locations throughout steel arch, which appear to be mostly during to light covering of protective paint coating system on material.

Note that several nuts were found to be missing from studs along access panels/doors of both arch chords, which are in need of being replaced.

152: Ste	el Floor Beam								
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4
FT	1	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Note that during gr Due to su performe Floor bea Light sur due mos above. Horizonta found to appear to inspectio Noted/Su	ound view Bi-anni uch conditions, the ad and completed if am elements throu face rusting condi- tly to light covering al connection welc be cracked or sho o have grown sinc ns. ubject areas are as estricted length of	ual Inspection. e following notes w in February of 201 ighout structure a tions were found s g and or light failu ds between horizo wing possible cra e last inspection a s followed:	vere left in 14: re of Painte starting to c re occurring ntal gusset cking in se according to	place from the pre- ed Steel I design. occur in both the re g in newer protect plates of lateral b veral locations thr o the cracks end p	evious inspo ear most flo ive paint co pracing mat oughout str point marks	ection, which was	an In-Dept ell as at the vell as seep nd floor bea nd possible cations duri	e forward most #12 bage from joints im webs were e cracks do not ing previous	,

515: Steel Protective Coating

Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4
FT	0.3	0	0%	0.3	100%	0	0%	0	0%

Steel Protective Coating-

What could be seen/viewed during Bi-Annual Inspection this date of steel protective coating system throughout Floor Beam members of structure was found exposing light surface rusting conditions starting to occur in both the rear most floor beam #1, as well as at the forward most floor beam #12, due mostly to light covering and/or light failure occurring in newer protective paint coating system, as well as seepage from joints above.

162: Stl	162: Stl Gus Plate											
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4			
EACH	1	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			

Stl Gus Plate-

Horizontal connection welds between horizontal gusset/shelf plates of lateral bracing material to stringer and floor beam web areas were found to be cracked or showing possible cracking in several locations throughout structure. See attached note file located in the Media Tab or hard copy located in the bridge file, as well as photos from previous In-Depth Inspection. All cracks detected were found located in 45 degree turn of weld or running along plate face of weld bead and not along web section of members. These weld details need to remain closely watched for further change/conditions. (See Photos)

515: St	515: Steel Protective Coating											
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4			
EACH	1	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			

Steel Protective Coating-

What could be seen/viewed during Bi-Annual Inspection this date of steel protective coating system throughout Steel Gusset Plate material of structure, was found performing well at this time.

	Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4	
	EACH	20	16	80%	4	20%	0	0%	0	0%	

Steel Column-

Note that several column elements throughout structure are located at height, preventing close view of members for inspection during this ground view Bi-annual Inspection.

The following notes were left in place from the previous inspection, which was an In-Depth Inspection performed and completed in February of 2014:

Spandrel column elements from arch to floor beam connections are showing misalignment. Random bolt anchors of spandrel columns were also found to have misalignment, with several bolts found to be loose or may have never been properly placed during original construction.

Note that all vertical spandrel bearing columns/beams along topside of steel arch section were found showing horizontal displacement/movement at lower pin connections to masonry plates attached to arch. Spandrel columns along south side of arch were found to have moved horizontally south on pins, with columns along the north side showing movement towards the north direction. The columns in these locations are against the sides of masonry plates and at this time are not exposing any damages done as a result.

515: Ste	el Protective Co	ating							
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4
EACH	0.09	0.09	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Steel Protective Coating-

What could be seen/viewed during Bi-Annual Inspection this date of steel protective coating system throughout Steel Column elements of structure, was found performing well at this time.

215: Re	215: Re Conc Abutment											
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4			
FT	91	68	75%	23	25%	0	0%	0	0%			

Re Conc Abutment-

Note that due to previous joint failure in the past, as well as at this time, a moderate amount of staining conditions were found along both abutment backwalls and breastwall fascias.

Abutment backwalls were found showing random hairline vertical cracking conditions throughout, along with random areas of light delamination occurring.

Note that a minor amount of dirt and debris buildup was found on beam seat locations of both abutments. (See Photos)

302: Co	302: Compressn Joint Seal												
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4				
FT	72.19	0	0%	72.19	100%	0	0%	0	0%				
Compros	Compression Joint Sool												

Compressn Joint Seal-

Expansion joint devices throughout structure are of Compression Seal design.

Seal material throughout expansion joints was found to be showing failure at this time, with bond between seals and armored edge material being lost. Failure of joint seal material is allowing seepage conditions to random structural elements below (abutments, bearing devices, girder ends, etc.).

Areas of seals throughout joints were found to be filling and/or filled with roadway dirt and debris buildup. (See Photos)

2350: D	2350: Debris Impaction											
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4			
FT	72.19	0	0%	72.19	100%	0	0%	0	0%			

Debris Impaction-

Areas of seals throughout joints were found to be filling and/or filled with roadway dirt and debris buildup.

311: Mo	311: Moveable Bearing											
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4			
EACH	8	6	75%	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%			

Moveable Bearing-

Moveable bearing devices located at seat on abutments were found showing minor section loss, which appears to be from preexisting rusting conditions before newer protective paint system placement. Bearing devices at seat on abutment are now starting to showing light rusting conditions again at this time.

Note that due to height from ground level, the bearings at the end portions arch chords could not be closely viewed for inspection. Note that all vertical spandrel bearing columns/beams along topside of steel arch section were found showing horizontal displacement/movement at lower pin connections to masonry plates attached to arch. Spandrel arch columns along south side of arch were found to have moved horizontally south on pins, with columns along the north side showing movement towards the north direction. Column elements were also found showing misalignment at floor beam union, along with vertical connection bolts found loose and showing misalignment as well.

(See Photos)

515: Ste	515: Steel Protective Coating											
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4			
EACH	0.09	0	0%	0.09	100%	0	0%	0	0%			

Steel Protective Coating-

Moveable bearing devices located at seat on abutments were found showing minor section loss, which appears to be from preexisting rusting conditions before newer protective paint system placement. Bearing devices at seat on abutment are now starting to showing light rusting conditions again at this time.

313: Fix	313: Fixed Bearing											
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4			
EACH	4	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			

Fixed Bearing-

All fixed bearing devices throughout raised pedestals for arch portion of structure were found starting to show light surface rusting conditions; otherwise elements were found to be performing as designed at this time.

515: Steel Protective Coating												
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4			
EACH	0.09	0	0%	0.09	100%	0	0%	0	0%			
	Steel Protective Coating-											

All fixed bearing devices throughout raised pedestals for arch portion of structure were found starting to show light surface rusting conditions.

331: Re Conc Bridge Railing

	J	5							
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4
FT	625.5	587.5	94%	38	6%	0	0%	0	0%

Re Conc Bridge Railing

Bridge railing system throughout structure is of Reinforced Concrete design, with aluminum tubing material attached along topside. Concrete bridge railing system was found to have randomly spaced vertical cracking throughout, along with horizontal fascia cracking and minor spalls in random locations.

A minor to moderate loss of protective coating system was found typical throughout railing system.

Railing system throughout structure is starting to show general age and weathering conditions.

(See Photos)

804: Sid	804: Sidewalk												
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4				
(LF)	625.5	571.5	91%	54	9%	0	0%	0	0%				

Sidewalk-

Sidewalk elements throughout structure were found showing random areas of surface scaling and spalling, and transverse cracking with efflorescence along their interior fascias.

Transverse cracks were found at random spacings typical throughout sidewalk elements.

All four approach sidewalk transitions to structure were found showing concrete deteriorating conditions during previous inspection,

but note that areas have been repaired since, which was found performing well at this time.

850: 2nd	850: 2nd Elem												
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4				
(EA)	1	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%				

2nd Elem-

Note that several horizontal connection welds along connection locations of horizontal gusset/shelf plates of lateral bracing material to stringers and floor beam elements, were found to be cracked or have possible cracking conditions occurring. See attached file located in the Media Tab or hard copy located in bridge file, as well as photos. All cracks detected were found located in 45 degree turn of weld or running along plate face of weld bead and not along web section of members. These weld details need to remain closely watched for further change/conditions.

(See Photos)

851: Transitions										
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4	
(EA)	1	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	
Transitions-										

Approach roadway transitions to structure were found showing a moderate amount of settlement at this time, along with random areas of crack formation. Noted conditions in approach transitions need to remain watched for worsening change and repairs performed as needed.

Note that the concrete pavement material of the rear approach transition was found to have longitudinal and transverse cracking conditions, along with pothole formation. Rear transition was found to have settlement in the center portion, which is causing cracking conditions at this time.

(See Photos)

860: Erosion Ctrl/Prt										
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4	
(EA)	1	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	

Erosion Ctrl/Prt-

Local embankments along front of abutment elements, in behind the ends of the arch portion of structure, were found steep and have been covered with vertically poured concrete slabs, which are acting as retaining wall protection systems. In front of both abutments, subject concrete material is badly cracked and showing shifting conditions (displacement). Much of the concrete is discolored and damp from water coming through in between the pours (cold/construction joints) and through locations of cracked areas, with a large amount of transverse, random and diagonal cracking. Many of the cracks were found to be opened wide from movement/displacement noted in walls. These noted conditions need to remain watched during future inspection. Repairs are needed to subject walls.

1220: Deterioration (Other)										
Units	Total Qty	Qty. St. 1	% in 1	Qty. St. 2	% in 2	Qty. St. 3	% in 3	Qty. St. 4	% in 4	
(EA)	1	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	

Deterioration (Other)-

Local embankments along front of abutment elements, in behind the ends of the arch portion of structure, were found steep and have been covered with vertically poured concrete slabs, which are acting as retaining wall protection systems.

In front of both abutments, subject concrete material is badly cracked and showing shifting conditions (displacement). Much of the concrete is discolored and damp from water coming through in between the pours (cold/construction joints) and through locations of cracked areas, with a large amount of transverse, random and diagonal cracking. Many of the cracks were found to be opened wide from movement/displacement noted in walls. These noted conditions need to remain watched during future inspection. Repairs are needed to subject walls.

(See Photos)

STRUCTURE NOTES

Structure Stamped 1977 HS 20-44

INSPECTION NOTES

Inspection performed and completed by KYTCs Gary Cochran.

Note that this inspection performed was a Bi-Annual Inspection, which was completed from ground view only, with a large amount of structural members being located at height. Such conditions prevent close up thorough review of members; therefore notes from previous In-Depth Inspection, as well as Work Orders were left in place throughout report as needed.

*Note that this inspection report has a attached file located in both the Media Tab and structure file dated (02/19/2014)

*Note that Steel Protective Coating qualities need to be obtained for all Element Descriptions #113, #141, #152, #162, #202, #311 and #313 as soon as possible. (08/27/2015)

*Note that a Metal Vandal Protection Fencing system is attached along both the left and right sides of structure.

*Note that graffiti art cover was found along interior face of concrete parapet wall at all four corners of structure, which is in need of being removed. (08/27/2015)

WORK

Action: 1004 - Approach Roadway

Generated by user "gcochran" on 8/27/2015 Local City Crew may be responsible for this action (?).

-Repair both the rear and forward approach roadway transitions to structure, due to settlement and cracking found in concrete pavement.

Action: 1029 - Deck-Patch spalls->Deck-Repair (Potholes)

Generated by user "gcochran" on 08/27/2015

-Repair areas of delamination and spalling found occurring in random locations throughout deck soffit.

Action: -1 - Converted Work Candidates

Generated by user "gcochran" on 8/27/2015 No Action for such Work Order

-Remove all graffiti art cover from interior fascias of concrete parapet walls, all four corners of structure.

Action: -1 - Converted Work Candidates

Generated by user "gcochran" on 8/27/2015 No Action for such Work Order

-Repair/Replace concrete retainment walls along face of both the rear and forward abutment embankments, due to poor conditions noted.

Action: -1 - Converted Work Candidates

Generated by user "gcochran" on 8/27/2015 No Action for such Work Order

-Replace missing nuts on access panels of arch chords throughout structure.